

****HarMArchive – Review process****

Note reviewer confidentiality. Reviewers should regard a submitted manuscript and the completed Review Form as confidential documents. They should not use or disclose unpublished information in a manuscript except with the permission of the author(s). Since there is no direct communication between author(s) and reviewer(s) concerning a manuscript, consent can only be obtained via the Editors.

Before acceptance for publication, all articles will undergo double-anonymised peer review by scholars active in the relevant areas of academic research. Therefore, authors' names and contact information should be on a separate page. Also, direct references to the author's own formulations and previous texts should be avoided in the first version of the submission. These may be added after the reviewing process.

Articles are accepted after two positive reviews. Depending on the reviewers' evaluation, the authors can or must revise the article according to their comments. If necessary, the article will be sent to the reviewer(s) once more. After that, the editorial board will decide whether to publish the article.

The review process of the articles by editorial board members is conducted by other board members, respectively, to guarantee necessary anonymity and objectivity.

The editorial board of *HarMArchive* follows the best practices in scholarly publishing as stated by COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) in its Codes of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines, assuming that reviewers and authors adhere to the same standards.